

Accounts and Audit Committee Progress and Update Report for Trafford Council

Year ended 31 March 2016

June 2016

Mark Heap

Director

T 0161 234 6375

E mark.r.heap@uk.gt.com

Helen Stevenson

Audit Manager

T 0161 234 6354


E helen.l.stevenson@uk.gt.com

Andrew McNeil


Audit Executive

T 0161 912 4560


E andrew.mcneil@uk.gt.com



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.



Contents



Contents	Page
Introduction	4
Progress at June 2016	5
Emerging issues and developments:	
Grant Thornton reports	7
Other publications	9

Introduction

This paper provides the Accounts and Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.


Members of the Accounts and Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website www.grantthornton.co.uk, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications including:

- Better Together: Building a successful joint venture company;
<http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/building-a-successful-joint-venture-company/>
- Knowing the Ropes – Audit Committee; Effectiveness Review ;
www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/knowing-the-ropes-audit-committee-effectiveness-review-2015/
- Making devolution work: A practical guide for local leaders (October 2015)
www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/making-devolution-work/

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Mark Heap
Engagement Lead
T 0161 234 6375
M 07880 456 204
E mark.r.heap@uk.gt.com

Helen Stevenson
Engagement Manager
T 0161 234 6354
M 07880 456 209
E helen.l.stevenson@uk.gt.com



Progress at June 2016



Progress against plan

On track



Opinion and VfM conclusion

Plan to give before deadline of 30 September 2016




Outputs delivered

Fee letter, Progress Reports, delivered to plan

2015/16 work	Expected Date of Completion	Comments
<p>Accounts Audit Plan We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Council setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2015-16 financial statements.</p> <p>We also inform you of any subsequent changes to our audit approach.</p>	March 2016	This was presented to the Accounts and Audit Committee in March.
<p>Interim accounts audit Our interim fieldwork visit includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updating our review of the Council's control environment • updating our understanding of financial systems • review of Internal Audit reports on core financial systems • early work on emerging accounting issues • early substantive testing 	March 2016	We have completed our interim audit work on your financial systems and included our findings in our Audit Plan. This was presented to the Accounts and Audit Committee in March 2016

Progress at June 2016



2015/16 work	Expected Date of Completion	Comments
<p>Final accounts audit</p> <p>Including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit of the 2015-16 financial statements • Proposed opinion on the Council's accounts 	<p>Planned for July - September 2016</p>	<p>Our work will start with the receipt of the draft final accounts in June 2016.</p> <p>We will prepare an Audit Findings Report and present this to the Committee prior to issuing our audit report.</p>
<p>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion</p> <p>The scope of our work has changed and is set out in the final guidance issued by the National Audit Office in November 2015. The Code requires auditors to satisfy themselves that; "the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources".</p> <p>The guidance confirmed the overall criterion as; "in all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people".</p> <p>The three sub criteria for assessment to be able to give a conclusion overall are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informed decision making • Sustainable resource deployment • Working with partners and other third parties 	<p>Fieldwork in February – July, formal conclusion reported by 30 September 2016</p>	<p>We completed our initial risk assessment as part of our interim audit visit and reported our findings in our Audit Plan which was presented to the Accounts and Audit Committee in March 2016.</p> <p>We will review and update our risk assessment as part of our final accounts audit work. If any new issues are identified we will report these in our Audit Findings Report along with the results of our VfM audit work and the key messages arising.</p> <p>We will include our conclusion as part of our report on your financial statements which we will give by 30 September 2016.</p>

Better Together: Building a successful joint venture company

Local government is evolving as it looks for ways to protect front-line services. These changes are picking up pace as more councils introduce alternative delivery models to generate additional income and savings.

'Better together' is the next report in our series looking at alternative delivery models and focuses on the key areas to consider when deciding to set up a joint venture (JV), setting it up and making it successful.

JVs have been in use for many years in local government and remain a common means of delivering services differently. This report draws on our research across a range of JVs to provide inspiring ideas from those that have been a success and the lessons learnt from those that have encountered challenges.

Key findings from the report:

- JVs continue to be a viable option – Where they have been successful they have supported councils to improve service delivery, reduce costs, bring investment and expertise and generate income
- There is reason to be cautious – Our research found a number of JVs between public and private bodies had mixed success in achieving outcomes for councils
- There is a new breed of JVs between public sector bodies – These JVs can be more successful at working and staying together. There are an increasing number being set up between councils and wholly-owned commercial subsidiaries that can provide both the commercialism required and the understanding of the public sector culture.

Our report, Better Together: Building a successful joint venture company, can be downloaded from our website: <http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/building-a-successful-joint-venture-company/>

Grant Thornton reports



ALTERNATIVE SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Better together
Building a successful
joint venture company



Grant Thornton reports

Innovation in public financial management

Our research on international public financial management (PFM) shows it is evolving, from having a narrow focus on budgeting, towards a wider mandate as a key driver of policy and strategy across all levels of government, public services, state enterprises and public-private partnerships.

While the rate of this evolution differs by country, the research reveals a marked overall increase in innovation and highlights four major trends that, globally, will impact on the future of public financial management:

1. reform programs
2. infrastructure development, especially via public private partnerships (PPPs)
3. transparency, especially via digital channels
4. the impact of global economic uncertainty.

This report, published by Grant Thornton International in association with the International Consortium on Governmental Financial Management (ICGFM), draws on a recent survey of 278 PFM practitioners worldwide, as well as insights from experts at the ICGFM, the MIT Centre for Finance and Policy and Grant Thornton International.

Our report, Innovation in public financial management, can be downloaded from our website:

<http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/innovation-in-public-financial-management/>



Innovation in public financial management
in an increasingly complex and uncertain global environment

ICGFM Financial Management Markets Survey 2015



Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally

CIPFA publication

Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally is a strategy for English local authorities that is the result of collaboration by local authorities and key stakeholders from across the counter fraud landscape .

This strategy is the result of an intensive period of research, surveys, face-to-face meetings and workshops. Local authorities have spoken openly about risks, barriers and what they feel is required to help them improve and continue the fight against fraud and to tackle corruption locally.

Local authorities face a significant fraud challenge. Fraud costs local authorities an estimated £2.1bn a year. In addition to the scale of losses, there are further challenges arising from changes in the wider public sector landscape including budget reductions, service remodelling and integration, and government policy changes. Local authorities will need to work with new agencies in a new national counter fraud landscape.

The strategy:

- calls upon local authorities to continue to tackle fraud with the dedication they have shown so far and to step up the fight against fraud in a challenging and rapidly changing environment
- illustrates the financial benefits that can accrue from fighting fraud more effectively
- calls upon central government to promote counter fraud activity in local authorities by ensuring the right further financial incentives are in place and helping them break down barriers to improvement
- updates and builds upon Fighting Fraud Locally 2011 in the light of developments such as The Serious and Organised Crime Strategy and the first UK Anti-Corruption Plan
- sets out a new strategic approach that is designed to feed into other areas of counter fraud and corruption work and support and strengthen the ability of the wider public sector to protect itself from the harm that fraud can cause.

The strategy can be downloaded from

<http://www.cipfa.org/services/counter-fraud-centre/fighting-fraud-and-corruption-locally>





© 2016 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

grantthornton.co.uk

GRT102468